

## JUL 22 1998

## **DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL**

## Dear CMAIm ROOM Commissioners;

Comments To Support RM-9242 LPFM RADIO

Now lat's see if we can convince the government to let us have what is

rightfully ours (the PEOPLES) and give us back our airwaves! Comm

sense

and logic do NOT apply to lawmakers. What ever WE want, has to make

them

a lot of money or they won't do anything for us. Since pirate rad

non-profit, don't expect them to serve our needs over the NAB's interests.

Micropower broadcasting is helping to rest ore grassroots democracy, bringing back the concept of open and free c ivic discourse among all citizens. Further, it is a direct challenge to a broadcast system based entirely on wealth. As opposed to the hundreds of thousand dollars required under the current FCC structure to even

think about starting an FM broadcast station, a micropower FM broadcast dcast

station can be put on the air for a cost ranging from \$1000-\$2000. An

affordable amount for any community desiring to have a voice.

The NAB may well be the most powerful lobby in the US. The US Cong  $\ensuremath{\mathsf{ress}}$ 

dares not cross them. The Federal Communications Commission (FCC) which

is supposed to regulate the equitable use of the public airwaves, serves as their police force. In 1996, Congress passed the

Telecommunications Act which legalized the theft of the public air waves

by the NAB. The Act lifted restrictions which severely limited the

number of radio and television stations any one entity could own. The

FCC, whose officials often get lucrative employment in the industr

No. of Copies rec'd CList ABCDE MMB

y upon leaving government service, was told by the NAB that if it op posed the 1996 legislation, the NAB would see to it that the FCC would be disbanded. Campaign finance reform provisions which would have mandated free airtime for political candidates, and thus benefitte d candidates not backed by big money, were also squashed by pressure from the N.A.B.

With good oversight, the low power stations pose no risk of violating the current FCC decency guidelines. Low power stations would give more listening options.

. Fees and licensing procedures should be minimiz ed and streamlined so average individuals, schools, churches, and community organizations can have access to the public airwaves

the establishment of a low power radio service would serve the public interest in a number of ways. First, a low power radio broadcasting service would serve as a vehicle by which a variety of programming choices could be offered to small communities or niche segments of communities in urban areas. Second, such a service could serve as a vehicle for entrepreneurs to develop new types of programming or uses designed to enhance the broadcasting service. Third, the establishment of a low power radio broadcasting service would help to alleviate barriers to entry within

the broadcast industry.

Mr.D'Alessandro 94 Angola Estates Lewes, Delaware

19958